



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 09/800,860 | 03/07/2001 | Jeremy Scott Noonan | MLDNL200101 | 5606 | |
| 25260 75 | 590 09/08/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MARCIA L. I | DOUBET | BROWN, CHRISTOPHER J | | | |
| P. O. BOX 422 KISSIMMEE, | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| RISSIMMEE, | 16 31/16 | | 2134 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



| - | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Applica | tion No. | Applicant(s) | 1/1 | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/800, | 860 | NOONAN, JEREMY | NOONAN, JEREMY SCOTT | | | |
| | | Examin | er | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | | her J Brown | 2134 | | | | |
| Period fe | The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply | tion appears on t | he cover sheet wit | h the correspondence addr | ess | | | |
| A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. ays, a reply within the story period will apply and, by statute, cause the ap | event, however, may a re satutory minimum of thirty will expire SIX (6) MONT pplication to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed | on 07 March 200 | 1. | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | • | | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction | withdrawn from c | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 March 2001</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be | is/are: a)⊠ acce on to the drawing(s) e correction is requ | be held in abeyand lired if the drawing(s | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12)[a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International | cuments have be cuments have be the priority docun I Bureau (PCT Ri | een received. een received in Ap nents have been r ule 17.2(a)). | oplication No received in this National St | tage | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | | | |
| | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 0.40) | | ummary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 3) 🛛 Infor | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date 03/07/01. | | | /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1 _· | 52) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/800,860

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01. Hyperlinks can be found on pages 2, 4, and 12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 14, 15, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brady US 6,633,875.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,860

Art Unit: 2134

, , s

As per claims 1, 2, 9, 14, 15, 17 and 19, Brady teaches a secure server with a means for accessing a read only media (read only directory) where content to be served is on said read only media, (Col 16 lines18-28). Brady teaches means for accessing, locating and serving the content to the requestors over a network, (Col 16 lines 20-26).

As per claim 7, Brady teaches a secure server is a web server and the readable content is web documents (error reports), (Col 16 lines 20, 23, 24).

As per claim 8, Brady teaches the secure server is an FTP server, (Col 5 lines 18-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady US 6,633,875 in view of Jaisimha US 6,487,663.

As per claims 3-6 Brady does not discloses the values to configure the secure server.

Jaisimha discloses a web server with values to configure ports and IP addresses, (Col 8 lines 41, 51). It would be apparent to one skilled in the art to use plural pairs of configuration values for different network adapters.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,860

Art Unit: 2134

😼 👝 🦠

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the configuration file of Jaisimha with the secure server of Brady because the configuration values are necessary for the server to transmit and receive information (Jaisimha Col 8 lines 43-46).

Claims 11, 12, 13, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady US 6,633,875 in view of Chen US 2002/0073235.

As per claims 11-13, 16, and 18, Brady does not teach loading at least a subset of content into an updateable system memory.

Chen teaches sending web content to a cache server to more directly control what clients can see via the web. Chen teaches these updates happen periodically. It would be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that to update periodically a timer with a value is required, (page 9, [0113]).

It would be obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art to add the updateable web content of Chen to the read only server of Brady, because the updateable web memory allows better control, and thus better security, (Chen [0113]).

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady US 6,633,875 in view of Ebner US 6,718,454

As per claim 10, Brady does not teach locating content on different media.

Ebner teaches detecting availability of content and locating it on different media if unavailable, (Col 1 lines 38-44).

Art Unit: 2134

It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to add the option to locate data on different media from Ebner to Brady, so that content may be loaded when the requestor desires it.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher J Brown whose telephone number is 703-305-8023. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher J. Brown

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100